

Adjective: The unknown Category

Inflection, articles and other functional material in the AP

Keywords: *adjectives, categorial status, functional elements, morpho-syntax, typology*

In spite of a substantial body of research on the status of A(djectives), from both a generative (e.g. Baker 2003) and functionalist (e.g. Bhat, 1994) as well as a diachronic and typological (Dixon 1982; Rießler 2016) perspective, there does not seem to be a broad consensus on a number of relevant questions like

- (i) Is A a universal category?
- (ii) Is A a category at all or is it rather a version of N or V?
- (iii) Are there (sub-)categories like “nouny” and “verby” As?
- (iv) Which categorial properties make A clearly distinct from N and V?

Against the backdrop of these rather broad and general questions, this workshop addresses a relatively specific domain – *functional elements (inflection, articles, ezafe) in the AP* and their (possible) *contribution to or interaction with the categorial status of A*:

- (v) Are there functional elements/morphemes in the AP that are intimately related to or dependent on the category A, or even determine adjectivehood?
- (vi) Do they have cognates in other domains (NP, VP), and if so, how are they related (morphologically/semantically/etymologically)?

The general aim of the workshop is to gain new insights regarding the – up to this day unanswered – question of what it is that makes an A an A. Do As have specific properties that allow a definition of their categorial status, and if so, are they substantial or merely functional properties (e.g. attributive modification) – or are As simply the least specified category (see Baker 2003) that are best characterized by what they are not rather than by what they are?

The workshop has an empirical and a theoretical component, and explicitly welcomes diachronic, comparative and typological, as well as theoretical perspectives. On the empirical side, we want to bring together a number of relevant phenomena from a range of languages, and try to establish a (small-scale) typology of relevant items. The goal is to formulate diagnostics that allow us to compare such AP internal material across languages. On the theoretical side, we are interested in what role these items play regarding the categorial status of A.

Below we give a brief (non-exhaustive) overview of relevant topics for the WS:

- a) Early Indo-European has been claimed not to have a separate category A, but merely one super-category *nominal*. One of the arguments used is that presumed adjectives are syntactically, semantically and **morphologically** non-distinct from nouns. This raises the question what characterizes *inflectional material as adjectival*. Is it merely distinctness from nominal inflection, is it gender (and phi-) agreement or something else? Germanic weak adjectives are particularly interesting as they seem to have

changed their categorial status from N to A (see Osthoff 1876; Viti 2015; Rehn 2019).

We are thus particularly interested in adjectival inflection that appears to be more than simply the spellout of agreement, such as the strong vs. weak inflection in Germanic, the definite vs. indefinite inflection in Latvian and Lithuanian, but also short vs. long adjectives in Slavic languages.

- b) Some languages employ adjectival/linking/attributive articles: article elements that appear to be inherently dependent on the presence of an adjective. Although these elements are usually etymologically related to demonstratives/definite determiners, they cannot be considered “regular” definite articles since they are more closely associated with the adjectival constituent, rather than the noun phrase at large. Consequently, it has been argued that they are narrow components of the AP (Marušič & Žaucer 2007, 2013; Perridon & Sleeman 2011; Rießler 2016; Börjars & Payne 2016; Pfaff 2019). Elements fitting the profile of “adjectival article” can be found in Greek, Slovenian, Albanian, Romanian, Gothic, Old Norse, Hebrew, and others. There is, however, quite some (crosslinguistic) diversity, and the precise function of these elements, their grammaticalization path and their relation to definiteness remain unclear.
- c) Comparative/superlative morphology is relevant in the present context because it seems to be a paradigmatic adjectival property, designed for the category A, and is often used as one diagnostic for adjectivehood. It has however been pointed out that there are languages where comparative morphology is also found with nouns and even verbs (for discussion and further references, see Ratkus 2011). This suggests that comparison is not uniquely dependent on a category A.

Participants should try to make reference to one or more of the following questions:

- ⇒ What is the role/function/contribution of AP-internal elements?
- Are they responsible for “adjectivehood”?
 - Do they presuppose a category A?
 - Can they serve as unambiguous diagnostics?
 - How do they vary crosslinguistically?
 - Do we find the same morphology with other “attributes” (e.g. appositions)?
 - Do we find interesting cases of systematic multifunctionality/polysemy or common grammaticalization paths with VP-/NP-internal elements?

Bibliography:

- Baker, Mark C. 2003. *Lexical categories: Verbs, Nouns and Adjectives*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Bhat, DN Shankara. 1994. *The adjectival category: criteria for differentiation and identification*, vol. 24. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Bhat, DNS. 2000. “Word classes and sentential functions.” In Bernard Comrie Petra M. Vogel (ed.), *Empirical Approaches to Language Typology*, 47-64. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

- Börjars, Kersti and John Payne. 2016. "Adjectival definiteness marking and noun-phrase internal functions." University of Manchester, *HEADLEX*, 25 July 2016.
- Dixon, R. M. W. 1982, "Where have all the adjectives gone?", *Where have all the adjectives gone? and other essays in semantics and syntax*. Berlin: Mouton.
- Marušič, Franc, and Rok Žaucer. 2007. "On the adjectival definite article in Slovenian." *Pismo* 5(1):102-124.
- Marušič, Franc, and Rok Žaucer. 2013. "A definite article in the AP—evidence from colloquial Slovenian." *Nominal Constructions in Slavic and Beyond*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 183-208.
- Osthoff, Hermann. 1876. *Zur Geschichte des schwachen deutschen Adjectivums*. Jena: Hermann Costenoble.
- Perridon, Harry & Petra Sleeman. 2011. "The noun phrase in Germanic and Romance." In *The noun phrase in Romance and Germanic*, edited by Harry Perridon and Petra Sleeman, pp. 1–21. John Benjamins, Amsterdam.
- Pfaff, Alexander. 2019. "Reunited after 1000 years. The development of definite articles in Icelandic." *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 42(2): 165–207.
- Ratkus, Artūras. 2011. *The adjective inflection in Gothic and early Germanic: Structure and development*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge.
- Rehn, Alexandra. 2019. *Adjectives and the Syntax of German(ic) DPs – a diachronic and dialectal Perspective*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Konstanz.
- Rießler, Michael. 2016. *Adjective attribution*. Language Science Press, Berlin.
- Rijkhoff, Jan. 2000. "When can a language have adjectives? An implicational universal. *Empirical Approaches to Language Typology*." 217-258.
- Viti, Carlotta. 2015. *Variation und Wandel in der Syntax der alten indogermanischen Sprachen*. Narr, Tübingen.