Argument structure and argument structure alternations have been a crucial area of investigation in generative linguistics since its inception, carrying implications for our understanding of the overall architecture of grammar per se and the issues related to the nature of the relation between the lexicon and syntax in particular (Levin 1993, Levin & Rappaport Hovav 2005; see also Ramchand 2013 for a general overview). The answers given to questions related to argument structure alternations, such as, for instance, whether the two alternating frames have the same or different semantics, i.e., whether they are merely thematic paraphrases of each other as opposed to being truth-conditionally distinct, have had wide-ranging implications, often determining one’s position on the nature of said alternations, one’s analysis thereof and, not infrequently, one’s views on the clausal architecture underlying the alternation. Thus, for instance, whether one believes the Double Object construction (DOC) and the Prepositional Dative construction (PP Dative) to instantiate the same meaning or takes them to each encode a distinct semantics, often determined how these alternations are analyzed further. While the proponents of the monosemy/thematic paraphrases view often take the position that one of the alternating frames derives from the other (Larson 1988, Aoun and Li 1989, Baker 1997), the proponents of the polysemy view assume that the two constructions encode different semantic relations – change of possession for the DOC and movement to a goal for a PP Dative (Jackendoff 1990, Pesetsky 1995, Goldberg 1995, Harley 1995). Finally, in addition to these two positions, a third one exists which argues that the choice between the two constructions is more probabilistic and comes down to which construction is licensed in a given discourse context. Thus, while perhaps most naturally aligned with the monosemy/thematic paraphrase view (Bresnan et al. 2007, Bresnan and Nikitina 2009), this latter information structure view has nevertheless been argued to also be mostly compatible with the polysemy/alternative projection view (Krifka 2004).

While the monosemy/derivational view has been made prominent in accounts such as Larson (1988, 1990), (recast in Minimalist terms in Larson 2014), Baker (1988), and much work in Relational Grammar, the polysemy view appears to have enjoyed a somewhat wider overall popularity in the field in recent years (Bruening 2001, 2018, Dowty 1990, Hale & Keyser 2000, Harley 2002, 2007, Pesetsky 1995, Ramchand 2008). Accounts of arguments structure and argument structure alternations couched within the framework of the increasingly popular Distributed Morphology (Halle and Marantz 1993, 1994, Marantz 1997, 2013, Harley & Noyer 1999, Embick 2004a, b) in particular seem to favor, almost by default, the view on which each ‘alternating’ frame is built in the syntax rather than deriving one frame from the other (despite the fact that the framework itself is arguably fully compatible with and quite amenable to the possibility of deriving one ‘alternating frame’ from the other). Finally, the last two decades have witnessed the appearance of many attempts to sever arguments from the verb (Marantz 1984, Kratzer 1996, Borer 2005, Lohndal 2012, Wood & Marantz 2016, among others), with the most extreme position in this respect being that the only
argument of the verb is the event variable, with all other arguments being added in the syntax (Schein 1993, Borer 2005).

Interestingly though, a rather significant number of derivational accounts of the ditransitive alternation has been proposed for a number of languages in recent years, relying on a wide range of empirical and theoretical arguments (Antonyuk 2015, 2020, Bailyn 1995, 2012, Cépeda & Cyrino 2020, Cornilescu 2020, Hallman 2015, 2018, Ormazabal & Romero 2010, 2019, among others). Relatedly, a growing number of researchers have stressed the crucial role of Information Structural factors in the choice between the two alternating frames, for various types of alternations. For instance, Information Structure has been argued to influence the distribution of Dative Experiencers (DEs) in meaningful and predictable ways, with the syntactic position of Dative Experiencers in Spanish and Polish arguably determined both by argument structure and information structure, with DEs occurring sentence-initially only in contexts that are determined by information structural properties of the sentence (see Jiménez-Fernández & Rozwadowska 2017, Fábregas et al. 2017, Jiménez-Fernández 2020, Alexiadou & Anagnostopoulou 2019). Some researchers have gone so far as to insist that information structure is part of argument structure, for instance, Onea & Mardale (2020) argue that topics may sometimes be part of argument structure. Specifically, Onea & Mardale provide evidence in support of the hypothesis that in some languages differential object marking (DOM) may have evolved from the syntactic marking of topicality.

While there exist distinct schools of thought on the proper treatment of various types of argument structure alternations, we note that the proponents of various accounts often tend to lead a dialogue with only those who share in their convictions about the underlying architecture of grammar. One of the main goals of this workshop is to promote dialogue between the proponents of derivational and non-derivational accounts of various convictions by examining novel as well as classic arguments in the context of our present day understanding of these phenomena. This workshop is thus aimed at bringing together researchers working on argument structure and argument structure alternations, with a special focus on novel empirical and theoretical arguments for or against derivational and independent projection views. The role of information structure in various argument structure alternations is the topic of some of the selected abstracts.
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